

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/901,581	07/09/2001	Takahisa Doba	ICC-222 CIP	4798
7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER		
LOCTITE CORPORATION 1001 Trout Brook Crossing			SELLERS, ROBERT E	
Rocky Hill, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1712	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	\sim		/_
	* Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/901,581	DOBA, TAKAHISA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication of	Robert Sellers	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo te, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu	·
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 s This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,8,9 and 19 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 10-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	withdrawn from considera	tion.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the correct and the correct of the correct o	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyont on is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in brity documents have bee nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) r Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Da	

h

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 2, 8, 9 and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the non-Final rejection mailed June 30, 2005.

The crossed out references in the Information Disclosure Statements from parent application no. 10/009,818 cited in the non-Final rejection of the instant application were not available in the parent application and could not be found in searches therefor. A resubmission of pages 1-3 of the Information Disclosure Statements, Forms PTO-1449 with copies of the missing references would be considered.

The errors indicated on page 4 of the non-Final rejection have been corrected in the amendment filed September 30, 2005 except for the description of Ancamine 2337S on page 14, lines 3-5 as "a novolac-type resin that has been modified through reaction with aliphatic amines such as polyamines" which would be more accurately disclosed as a novolac epoxy reactant.

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection has been resolved by the amendments to claims 5, 11 and 15. The phrase "substantially insoluble" in claim 14 is deemed to be definite since one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to discern whether the derivatives of the amine compounds are insoluble to the degree that the phrase is satisfied (MPEP § 2173.05(b), Relative Terminology, D. "Substantially).

However, there is no antecedent basis for the "derivatives of the amine compounds" of claim 14 in claim 7 wherefrom it depends. Claim 7 only defines amine compounds and modified amine compounds, not derivatives. It is unclear what derivatives of the amine compounds are formed to determine the insolubility.

The text of section 103(a) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3-7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT Publication No. WO 99/05196.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the non-Final rejection. The arguments filed September 30, 2005 have been considered but are unpersuasive.

2. PCT '196 on page 13, lines 7-13 states that "the semiconductor chip may be fixed thereto [i.e. to the carrier substrate] with a thermosetting resin composition, as described below. In this way, in the event of a failure, the semiconductor chip may be removed before it is fixed to the carrier substrate with the thermosetting resin composition." This language is virtually identical to that set forth in the instant specification on page 20, lines 10-17. The specification elaborates on page 21, lines 23-32, that when failure is found, repair can be made by heating to a temperature wherein the resin is softened. It would have been obvious to remove a failed underfilled semiconductor chip of PCT '196 via removal of the resin.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,581

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Claims 1, 3-7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Nos. 11-106480, 10-287809 and 9-52941, Hino Patent No. 6,469,074; Zhou et al. Patent No. 6,057,402 and Nguyen et al. Patent No. 5,912,316

In view of Shah Patent No. 5,541,283, the Dante et al. and Dante articles,

Japanese Patent Nos. 6-184409 and 61-181870, the Fiala et al. article and

Stange Patent No. 3,714,112.

Claims 1, 3-7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese '409 and '870, Shah '283 and PCT Publication No. 98/31738 in view of PCT '196 and Chau et al. Patent No. 5,855,821.

3. The mixture of PCT '738 is cured at a temperature of from 120°C to 150°C (page 12, lines 30-32) and is capable of being softened by heating at a temperature of from about 190°C to about 260°C and removed (page 13, lines 13-30). Japanese '409 (page 6, line 2, curing at 180°C), Japanese '870 (Derwent abstract, lines 2-3, curing at 180°C) and Shah (col. 8, lines 19-21, curing at below 150°C) set forth curable epoxy resin sealants cured at temperatures below the softening temperature range recognized in PCT '738. It would have been obvious that the encapsulants of Japanese '409 and Shah, and the adhesive of Japanese '870 would have the capability of being removed by softening the mixture through heating at a temperature above the curing temperature.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,581

Art Unit: 1712

Page 5

Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references hereinabove except for Japanese '809 and '941, Hino et al., Zhou et al. and Nguyen et al.) as applied to claims 1, 3-7 and 18 hereinabove, an further in view of PCT '738 and the Shah article.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT '196 as applied to claims 1, 3-7 and 18 hereinabove, and further in view of PCT '738 and the Shah article.

- 4. The rejections are maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the non-Final rejection. The arguments filed September 30, 2005 have been considered but are unpersuasive.
- 5. The claims are directed to a composition wherein after the components of the composition are reacted, the reaction product is controllably degradable. Such a limitation is the ultimate intended feature of the composition after curing.

 The claims merely require an epoxy resin, curing agent, a (thio)glycidyl ester coreactant of the depicted structure and a cyanate ester stabilizer which is disclosed by the combined references wherein the formulations of the primary references are employed in the same ultimate intended utility as a semiconductor encapsulant. The claimed composition does not require the components to be reacted, the criteria which must be present before controllable degradability is exhibited.

Based on the equivalent compositions of the combined prior art and claims, the unreacted blends of the references inherently possess the capability of being controllably degradable once reacted.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

rs

10/12/2005

ROBERT E.L. SELLERS PRIMARY EXAMINER